

---

## Chapter 5. Operations on Groups and Modules

---

In this chapter we show how to construct new modules over the group algebra from old ones using standard module operations such as tensor products, Hom-functors, duality, or using subgroups or quotients of the initial group. Moreover, we study how these constructions relate to each other.

**Notation:** throughout this chapter, unless otherwise specified, we let  $G$  denote a finite group and  $(F, \mathcal{O}, k)$  be a splitting  $p$ -modular system for  $G$  and its subgroups. Moreover, we let  $K \in \{F, \mathcal{O}, k\}$  (so that  $K$  is in particular always a commutative ring), and we assume that all  $KG$ -modules considered are **free of finite rank as  $K$ -modules**, hence finitely generated as  $KG$ -modules.

### References:

- [Alp86] J. L. Alperin. *Local representation theory*. Vol. 11. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [Ben98] D. J. Benson. *Representations and cohomology. I*. Vol. 30. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [CR90] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990.
- [LP10] K. Lux and H. Pahlings. *Representations of groups*. Vol. 124. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [Web16] P. Webb. *A course in finite group representation theory*. Vol. 161. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.

## 15 Tensors, Hom's and duality

### Definition 15.1 (*Tensor product of $KG$ -modules*)

If  $M$  and  $N$  are two  $KG$ -modules, then the tensor product  $M \otimes_K N$  of  $M$  and  $N$  balanced over  $K$  becomes a  $KG$ -module via the **diagonal action** of  $G$ . In other words, the external composition law is defined by the  $G$ -action

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : G \times (M \otimes_K N) &\longrightarrow M \otimes_K N \\ (g, m \otimes n) &\mapsto g \cdot (m \otimes n) := gm \otimes gn \end{aligned}$$

extended by  $K$ -linearity to the whole of  $KG$ .

**Definition 15.2 (Homs)**

If  $M$  and  $N$  are two  $KG$ -modules, then the abelian group  $\text{Hom}_K(M, N)$  becomes a  $KG$ -module via the so-called **conjugation action** of  $G$ . In other words, the external composition law is defined by the  $G$ -action

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : G \times \text{Hom}_K(M, N) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(M, N) \\ (g, f) &\mapsto g \cdot f : M \longrightarrow N, m \mapsto (g \cdot f)(m) := g \cdot f(g^{-1} \cdot m) \end{aligned}$$

extended by  $K$ -linearity to the whole of  $KG$ .

Specifying Definition 15.2 to  $N = K$  yields a  $KG$ -module structure on the  $K$ -dual  $M^* = \text{Hom}_K(M, K)$ .

**Definition 15.3 (Dual of a  $KG$ -module)**

(a) If  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, then its  $K$ -dual  $M^*$  becomes a  $KG$ -module via the external composition law is defined by the map

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : G \times M^* &\longrightarrow M^* \\ (g, f) &\mapsto g \cdot f : M \longrightarrow K, m \mapsto (g \cdot f)(m) := f(g^{-1} \cdot m) \end{aligned}$$

extended by  $K$ -linearity to the whole of  $KG$ .

(b) If  $M, N$  are  $KG$ -modules, then every morphism  $\rho \in \text{Hom}_{KG}(M, N)$  induces a  $KG$ -homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^* : N^* &\longrightarrow M^* \\ f &\mapsto \rho^*(f) : M \longrightarrow K, m \mapsto \rho^*(f)(m) := f \circ \rho(m). \end{aligned}$$

(See Proposition D.3.)

**Lemma 15.4**

If  $M$  and  $N$  are  $KG$ -modules, then  $\text{Hom}_K(M, N) \cong M^* \otimes_K N$  as  $KG$ -modules.

**Proof:** The map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta := \theta_{M,N} : M^* \otimes_K N &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(M, N) \\ f \otimes n &\mapsto \theta(f \otimes n) : M \longrightarrow N, m \mapsto \theta(f \otimes n)(m) = f(m)n \end{aligned}$$

defines a  $K$ -isomorphism. (Check it!)

Now, for every  $g \in G, f \in M^*, n \in N$  and  $m \in M$ , we have on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(g \cdot (f \otimes n))(m) &= \theta(g \cdot f \otimes g \cdot n)(m) = (g \cdot f)(m)g \cdot n \\ &= f(g^{-1} \cdot m)g \cdot n \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand

$$(g \cdot \theta(f \otimes n))(m) = g \cdot (\theta(f \otimes n)(g^{-1}m)) = g \cdot (f(g^{-1}m)n) = f(g^{-1} \cdot m)g \cdot n,$$

hence  $\theta(g \cdot (f \otimes n)) = (g \cdot \theta(f \otimes n))$  and it follows that  $\theta$  is in fact a  $KG$ -isomorphism. ■

**Remark 15.5**

In case  $M = N$  the above constructions yield a  $KG$ -module structure on  $\text{End}_K(M) \cong M^* \otimes_K M$ . Moreover, if  $\text{rk}_K(M) =: n$ ,  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $M$  and  $\{m_1^*, \dots, m_n^*\}$  is the dual  $K$ -basis, then  $\text{Id}_M \in \text{End}_K(M)$  corresponds to the element  $r := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i^* \otimes m_i \in M^* \otimes_K M$ . (Exercise!)

This allows us to define the  $KG$ -homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{l}: K &\longrightarrow M^* \otimes_K M \\ 1 &\mapsto r. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 15.6 (Trace map)**

If  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, then the **trace map** associated with  $M$  is the  $KG$ -homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_M: M^* \otimes_K M &\longrightarrow K \\ f \otimes m &\mapsto f(m). \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 15.7**

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $KG$ -modules. Prove the following assertions:

- (a)  $M \cong (M^*)^*$  as  $KG$ -modules (in a natural way);
- (b)  $M^* \oplus N^* \cong (M \oplus N)^*$  and  $M^* \otimes_K N^* \cong (M \otimes_K N)^*$  as  $KG$ -modules (in a natural way);
- (c)  $M$  is simple, resp. indecomposable, resp. semisimple, if and only if  $M^*$  is simple, resp. indecomposable, resp. semisimple;

**Notation 15.8**

If  $M$  and  $N$  are  $KG$ -modules, we shall write  $M \mid N$  to mean that  $M$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $N$ .

**Lemma 15.9**

Let  $M$  be a  $KG$ -module. If  $\text{rk}_K(M) \in K^\times$ , then  $K \mid M^* \otimes_K M$ .

**Proof:** By Lemma-Definition D.4(c) it suffices to check that  $\frac{1}{\text{rk}_K(M)}\mathbf{l}$  is a  $KG$ -section for  $\text{Tr}_M$ , because then  $M^* \otimes_K M \cong \ker(\text{Tr}_M) \oplus K$ , hence  $K \mid M^* \otimes_K M$ . So let  $\lambda \in K$ . Then, using the notation of Remark 15.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \text{Tr}_M \circ \frac{1}{\text{rk}_K(M)}\mathbf{l} \right](\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\text{rk}_K(M)} \text{Tr}_M(\lambda r) = \frac{\lambda}{\text{rk}_K(M)} \text{Tr}_M\left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i^* \otimes m_i\right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\text{rk}_K(M)} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i^*(m_i) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\text{rk}_K(M)} \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\text{Tr}_M \circ \frac{1}{\text{rk}_K(M)}\mathbf{l} = \text{Id}_K$ . ■

**Exercise 15.10**

Let  $M$  be a  $KG$ -module. Prove the following assertions:

- (a)  $\text{Tr}_M$  is a  $KG$ -homomorphism and  $\text{Tr}_M \circ \theta_{M,M}^{-1}$  coincides with the ordinary trace of matrices;
- (b) if  $K = k$ , then  $M \mid M \otimes_k M^* \otimes_k M$ , and if  $\text{char}(k) \mid \dim_k(M)$ , then  $M \oplus M \mid M \otimes_k M^* \otimes_k M$ .

**16 Fixed and cofixed points**

Fixed and cofixed points explain why in the previous section we considered tensor products and Hom's over  $K$  and not over  $KG$ .

**Definition 16.1 ( $G$ -fixed points and  $G$ -cofixed points)**

Let  $M$  be a  $KG$ -module.

- (a) The  $G$ -fixed points of  $M$  are by definition  $M^G := \{m \in M \mid g \cdot m = m \ \forall g \in G\}$ .
- (b) The  $G$ -cofixed points of  $M$  are by definition  $M_G := M/(I(KG) \cdot M)$ .

In other words:

- $M^G$  is the largest  $KG$ -submodule of  $M$  on which  $G$  acts trivially, and
- $M_G$  is the largest quotient of  $M$  on which  $G$  acts trivially.

**Lemma 16.2**

If  $M, N$  are  $KG$ -modules, then  $\text{Hom}_K(M, N)^G = \text{Hom}_{KG}(M, N)$  and  $(M \otimes_K N)_G \cong M \otimes_{KG} N$ .

**Proof:** A  $K$ -linear map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is a morphism of  $KG$ -modules if and only if  $f(g \cdot m) = g \cdot f(m)$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $m \in M$ , that is if and only if  $g^{-1} \cdot f(g \cdot m) = f(m)$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $m \in M$ . This is exactly the condition that  $f$  is fixed under the action of  $G$ . Hence  $\text{Hom}_K(M, N)^G = \text{Hom}_{KG}(M, N)$ .

Second claim: [Exercise!](#) ■

**Exercise 16.3**

Let  $K$  be a field and let  $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} N \rightarrow 0$  be a s.e.s. of  $KG$ -modules. Prove that if  $M \cong L \oplus N$ , then the s.e.s. splits.

[Hint: Consider the exact sequence induced by the functor  $\text{Hom}_{KG}(N, -)$  (as in Proposition D.3(a)) and use the fact that the modules considered are all finite-dimensional.]

**17 Inflation, restriction and induction**

In this section we define new module structures from known ones for subgroups, overgroups and quotients, and investigate how these relate to each other.

**Remark 17.1**

- (a) If  $H \leq G$  is a subgroup, then the inclusion  $H \rightarrow G, h \mapsto h$  can be extended by  $K$ -linearity to an injective algebra homomorphism  $\iota : KH \rightarrow KG, \sum_{h \in H} \lambda_h h \mapsto \sum_{h \in H} \lambda_h h$ . Hence  $KH$  is a  $K$ -subalgebra of  $KG$ .
- (b) Similarly, if  $U \trianglelefteq G$  is a normal subgroup, then the quotient homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G/U, g \mapsto gU$  can be extended by  $K$ -linearity to an algebra homomorphism  $\pi : KG \rightarrow K[G/U]$ .

It is clear that we can always perform changes of the base ring using the above homomorphism in order to obtain new module structures. This yields two natural operations on modules over group algebras called *inflation* and *restriction*.

**Definition 17.2 (Restriction)**

Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup. If  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, then  $M$  may be regarded as a  $KH$ -module through a change of the base ring along  $\iota : KH \rightarrow KG$ , which we denote by  $\text{Res}_H^G(M)$  or simply  $M \downarrow_H^G$  and call the **restriction** of  $M$  from  $G$  to  $H$ .

**Definition 17.3 (Inflation)**

Let  $U \trianglelefteq G$  be a normal subgroup. If  $M$  is a  $K[G/U]$ -module, then  $M$  may be regarded as a  $KG$ -module through a change of the base ring along  $\pi : KG \rightarrow K[G/U]$ , which we denote by  $\text{Inf}_{G/U}^G(M)$  and call the **inflation** of  $M$  from  $G/U$  to  $G$ .

**Remark 17.4**

- (a) If  $H \leq G$  is a subgroup,  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module and  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(M)$  is the associated  $K$ -representation, then the  $K$ -representation associated to  $M \downarrow_H^G$  is simply the composite morphism

$$H \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\rho} GL(M).$$

- (b) Similarly, if  $U \trianglelefteq G$  is a normal subgroup,  $M$  is a  $K[G/U]$ -module and  $\rho : G/U \rightarrow GL(M)$  is the associated  $K$ -representation, then the  $K$ -representation associated to  $\text{Inf}_{G/U}^G(M)$  is simply

$$G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/U \xrightarrow{\rho} GL(M).$$

**Lemma 17.5**

- (a) If  $H \leq G$  and  $M_1, M_2$  are two  $KG$ -modules, then  $(M_1 \oplus M_2) \downarrow_H^G = M_1 \downarrow_H^G \oplus M_2 \downarrow_H^G$ . If  $U \trianglelefteq G$  and  $M_1, M_2$  are two  $K[G/U]$ -modules, then  $\text{Inf}_{G/U}^G(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \text{Inf}_{G/U}^G(M_1) \oplus \text{Inf}_{G/U}^G(M_2)$ .
- (b) **(Transitivity of restriction)** If  $L \leq H \leq G$  and  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, then  $M \downarrow_{H \downarrow L}^G = M \downarrow_L^G$ .
- (c) If  $H \leq G$  and  $M$  is a  $KG$ -module, then  $(M^*) \downarrow_H^G \cong (M \downarrow_H^G)^*$ . If  $U \trianglelefteq G$  and  $M$  is a  $K[G/U]$ -module, then  $\text{Inf}_{G/U}^G(M^*) \cong (\text{Inf}_{G/U}^G M)^*$ .

**Proof:** (a) Straightforward from the fact that the external composition law on a direct sum is defined componentwise.

- (b) If  $\iota_{L,H} : L \rightarrow H$  denotes the canonical inclusion of  $L$  in  $H$ ,  $\iota_{H,G} : H \rightarrow G$  the canonical inclusion of  $H$  in  $G$  and  $\iota_{L,G} : L \rightarrow G$  the canonical inclusion of  $L$  in  $G$ , then

$$\iota_{H,G} \circ \iota_{L,H} = \iota_{L,G}.$$

Thus performing a change of the base ring via  $\iota_{L,G}$  is the same as performing two successive changes of the base ring via first  $\iota_{H,G}$  and then  $\iota_{L,H}$ . Hence  $M \downarrow_{H \downarrow L}^G = M \downarrow_L^G$ .

- (c) Straightforward. ■

A third natural operation comes from extending scalars from a subgroup to the initial group.

**Definition 17.6 (Induction)**

Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup and let  $M$  be a  $KH$ -module. Regarding  $KG$  as a  $(KG, KH)$ -bimodule, we define the **induction** of  $M$  from  $H$  to  $G$  to be the left  $KG$ -module

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(M) := KG \otimes_{KH} M$$

where the  $KG$  acts via its left action on itself. We also write  $M \uparrow_H^G$  instead of  $\text{Ind}_H^G(M)$ .

**Example 10**

- (a) If  $H = \{1\}$  and  $M = K$ , then  $K \uparrow_{\{1\}}^G = KG \otimes_K K \cong KG$ .
- (b) **Transitivity of induction:** clearly  $L \leq H \leq G$  and  $M$  is a  $KL$ -module, then  $M \uparrow_L^G = (M \uparrow_L^H) \uparrow_H^G$  by the associativity of the tensor product.

First, we analyse the structure of an induced module in terms of the left cosets of  $H$ .

**Remark 17.7**

Recall that  $G/H = \{gH \mid g \in G\}$  denotes the set of left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . Moreover, we write  $[G/H]$  for a set of representatives of these left cosets. In other words,  $[G/H] = \{g_1, \dots, g_{|G:H|}\}$  (where we assume that  $g_1 = 1$ ) for elements  $g_1, \dots, g_{|G:H|} \in G$  such that  $g_i H \neq g_j H$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $G$  is the disjoint union of the left cosets of  $H$ , so that

$$G = \bigsqcup_{g \in [G/H]} gH = g_1 H \sqcup \dots \sqcup g_{|G:H|} H.$$

It follows that

$$KG = \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} gKH,$$

where  $gKH = \{g \sum_{h \in H} \lambda_h h \mid \lambda_h \in K \forall h \in H\}$ . Clearly,  $gKH \cong KH$  as *right*  $KH$ -modules via  $gh \mapsto h$  for each  $h \in H$ . Therefore

$$KG \cong \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} KH = (KH)^{|G:H|}$$

and hence is a free *right*  $KH$ -module with a  $KH$ -basis given by the left coset representatives in  $[G/H]$ .

In consequence, if  $M$  is a given  $KH$ -module, then we have

$$KG \otimes_{KH} M = \left( \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} gKH \right) \otimes_{KH} M = \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} (gKH \otimes_{KH} M) = \bigoplus_{g \in [G/H]} (g \otimes M),$$

where we set

$$g \otimes M := \{g \otimes m \mid m \in M\} \subseteq KG \otimes_{KH} M.$$

Clearly, each  $g \otimes M$  is isomorphic to  $M$  as a  $K$ -module via the  $K$ -isomorphism

$$g \otimes M \longrightarrow M, g \otimes m \mapsto m.$$

It follows that

$$\text{rk}_K(\text{Ind}_H^G(M)) = |G : H| \cdot \text{rk}_K(M).$$

Next we see that with its left action on  $KG \otimes_{KH} M$ , the group  $G$  permutes these  $K$ -submodules: for if  $x \in G$ , then  $xg_i = g_jh$  for some  $h \in H$ , and hence

$$x \cdot (g_i \otimes m) = xg_i \otimes m = g_jh \otimes m = g_j \otimes hm.$$

This action is also clearly transitive since for every  $1 \leq i, j \leq |G : H|$  we can write

$$g_j g_i^{-1} (g_i \otimes M) = g_j \otimes M.$$

Exercise: Check that the stabiliser of  $g_1 \otimes M$  is  $H$  (where  $g_1 = 1$ ) and deduce that the stabiliser of  $g_i \otimes M$  is  $g_i H g_i^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 17.8 (Universal property of the induction)**

Let  $H \leq G$ , let  $M$  be a  $KH$ -module and let  $j : M \rightarrow KG \otimes_{KH} M, m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$  be the canonical map (which is in fact a  $KH$ -homomorphism). Then, for every  $KG$ -module  $N$  and for every  $KH$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \text{Res}_H^G(N)$ , there exists a unique  $KG$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : KG \otimes_{KH} M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ j = \varphi$ , or in other words such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\ j \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \tilde{\varphi} & \\ \text{Ind}_H^G(M) & & \end{array}$$

**Proof:** The universal property of the tensor product yields the existence of a well-defined homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\tilde{\varphi}: \begin{array}{ccc} KG \otimes_{KH} M & \longrightarrow & N \\ a \otimes m & \longmapsto & a \cdot \varphi(m) \end{array}.$$

which is obviously  $KG$ -linear. Moreover, for each  $m \in M$ , we have  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ j(m) = \tilde{\varphi}(1 \otimes m) = 1 \cdot \varphi(m) = \varphi(m)$ , hence  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ j = \varphi$ . Finally the uniqueness follows from the fact for each  $a \in KG$  and each  $m \in M$ , we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a \otimes m) = \tilde{\varphi}(a \cdot (1 \otimes m)) = a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(1 \otimes m) = a \cdot (\tilde{\varphi} \circ j(m)) = a \cdot \varphi(m)$$

hence there is a unique possible definition for  $\tilde{\varphi}$ . ■

Induced modules can be hard to understand from first principles, so we now develop some formalism that will enable us to compute with them more easily.

To begin with, there is in fact a further operation that relates the modules over a group  $G$  and a subgroup  $H$  called *coinduction*. Given a  $KH$ -module  $M$ , then the **coinduction** of  $M$  from  $H$  to  $G$  is the left  $KG$ -module

$$\text{Coind}_H^G(M) := \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M)$$

where the left  $KG$ -module structure is defined through the natural right  $KG$ -module structure of  $KG$ , i.e. for  $g \in G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : \quad KG \times \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M) \\ (g, \theta) &\mapsto (g \cdot \theta : KG \longrightarrow M, x \mapsto (g \cdot \theta)(x) := \theta(x \cdot g)) \end{aligned}$$

**Example 11**

If  $H = \{1\}$  and  $M = K$ , then  $\text{Coind}_{\{1\}}^G(K) \cong (KG)^*$  (i.e. with the  $KG$ -module structure on  $(KG)^*$  of Definition 15.3).

Exercise: exhibit a  $KG$ -isomorphism between the coinduction of  $K$  from  $\{1\}$  to  $G$  and  $(KG)^*$ .

Now, we see that the operation of coinduction in the context of group algebras is just a disguised version of the induction functor.

**Lemma 17.9 (Induction and coinduction are the same)**

If  $H \leq G$  is a subgroup and  $M$  is a  $KH$ -module, then  $KG \otimes_{KH} M \cong \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M)$  as  $KG$ -modules. In particular,  $KG \cong (KG)^*$  as  $KG$ -modules.

**Proof:** Mutually inverse  $KG$ -isomorphisms are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \quad KG \otimes_{KH} M &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M) \\ g \otimes m &\mapsto \Phi_{g \otimes m} \quad (\text{for } g \in G, m \in M) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi_{g \otimes m} : KG \longrightarrow M$  is such that for  $s \in G$ ,  $\Phi_{g \otimes m}(s) := sgm$  if  $sg \in H$  and  $\Phi_{g \otimes m}(s) := 0$  if  $sg \notin H$ ; and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \quad \text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, M) &\longrightarrow KG \otimes_{KH} M \\ \theta &\mapsto \sum_{g \in [G/H]} g \otimes \theta(g^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that in the case in which  $H = \{1\}$  and  $M = K$ ,

$$KG \cong KG \otimes_K K \cong \text{Hom}_K(KG, K) \cong (KG)^*$$

as  $KG$ -modules. ■

**Theorem 17.10 (Adjunction / Frobenius reciprocity / Nakayama relations)**

Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup. Let  $N$  be a  $KG$ -module and let  $M$  be a  $KH$ -module. Then, there are  $K$ -isomorphisms:

- (a)  $\text{Hom}_{KH}(M, \text{Hom}_{KG}(KG, N)) \cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(KG \otimes_{KH} M, N)$ ,  
or in other words,  $\text{Hom}_{KH}(M, N \downarrow_H^G) \cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(M \uparrow_H^G, N)$ ;
- (b)  $\text{Hom}_{KH}(N \downarrow_H^G, M) \cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(N, M \uparrow_H^G)$ .



**Proof:** (a) Since induction and coinduction coincide, we have  $\text{Hom}_{KG}(KG, N) \cong KG \otimes_{KG} N \cong N$  as  $KG$ -modules. Therefore,  $\text{Hom}_{KG}(KG, N) \cong N \downarrow_H^G$  as  $KH$ -modules, and it suffices to prove the second isomorphism. In fact, this  $K$ -isomorphism is given by the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi: \text{Hom}_{KH}(M, N \downarrow_H^G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{KG}(M \uparrow_H^G, N) \\ \varphi & \mapsto & \tilde{\varphi} \end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the  $KG$ -homomorphism induced by  $\varphi$  by the universal property of the induction. Since  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the unique  $KG$ -homomorphism such that  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ j = \varphi$ , setting

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi: \text{Hom}_{KG}(M \uparrow_H^G, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{KH}(M, N \downarrow_H^G) \\ \psi & \mapsto & \psi \circ j \end{array}$$

provides us with an inverse map for  $\Phi$ . Finally, it is straightforward to check that both  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are  $K$ -linear.

(b) Exercise: check that the so-called exterior trace map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\text{Tr}}_H^G: \text{Hom}_{KH}(N \downarrow_H^G, M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{KG}(N, M \uparrow_H^G) \\ \varphi & \mapsto & \hat{\text{Tr}}_H^G(\varphi): N \longrightarrow M \uparrow_H^G, n \mapsto \sum_{g \in [G/H]} g \otimes \varphi(g^{-1}n) \end{array}$$

provides us with the required  $K$ -isomorphism. ■

### Proposition 17.11

Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup. Let  $N$  be a  $KG$ -module and let  $M$  be a  $KH$ -module. Then, there are  $KG$ -isomorphisms:

- (a)  $(M \otimes_K N \downarrow_H^G) \uparrow_H^G \cong M \uparrow_H^G \otimes_K N$ ; and
- (b)  $\text{Hom}_K(M, N \downarrow_H^G) \uparrow_H^G \cong \text{Hom}_K(M \uparrow_H^G, N)$ .

**Proof:** (a) It follows from the associativity of the tensor product that

$$(M \otimes_K N \downarrow_H^G) \uparrow_H^G = KG \otimes_{KH} (M \otimes_K N \downarrow_H^G) \cong (KG \otimes_{KH} M) \otimes_K N = M \uparrow_H^G \otimes_K N$$

(b) We push back the proof until we have introduced the concept of an  $H$ -free module. (We will then prove that if  $M$  is a  $KH$ -module, then  $(M^*) \uparrow_H^G \cong (M \uparrow_H^G)^*$  and (b) will follow directly from (a) and the  $KG$ -isomorphism of Lemma 15.4.) ■

### Exercise 17.12

Let  $K$  be a field. Let  $U, V, W$  be  $KG$ -modules. Prove that there are isomorphisms of  $KG$ -modules:

- (i)  $\text{Hom}_K(U \otimes_K V, W) \cong \text{Hom}_K(U, V^* \otimes_K W)$ ; and
- (ii)  $\text{Hom}_{KG}(U \otimes_K V, W) \cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(U, V^* \otimes_K W) \cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(U, \text{Hom}_K(V, W))$ .